UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/592,921	09/14/2006	Colin Christopher David Giles	J3747(C)	6265
	7590 08/10/201 TENT GROUP	EXAMINER		
800 SYLVAN AVENUE			KENNEDY, NICOLETTA	
AG West S. Wi ENGLEWOOD	ng CLIFFS, NJ 07632-31	100	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1611	
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			08/10/2010	ELECTRONIC

## Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

patentgroupus@unilever.com

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/592,921	GILES ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	

	-
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears or	n the cover sheet with the correspondence address
THE REPLY FILED <u>04 August 2010</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLIC	ATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.
	s: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the th appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing date of	of the final rejection.
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later that	Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortenset forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than the may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee ed statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as
2. 🛮 The Notice of Appeal was filed on <u>04 August 2010</u> . A brief in co	ension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal.
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but pric	or to the date of filing a brief, will not be entered because
(a) They raise new issues that would require further considerations.	
(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below);	
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in better for appeal; and/or	m for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for
(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a corresp	ponding number of finally rejected claims.
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet. (See 37 CFR 1.116 and	d 41.33(a)).
4. 🔲 The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121. Se	e attached Notice of Non-Compliant Amendment (PTOL-324).
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):	
non-allowable claim(s).	e if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) will how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided be the status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 1-4, 6-9 and 11. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:	
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE	
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but befor because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and suffic was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).</li> </ol>	re or on the date of filing a Notice of Appeal will <u>not</u> be entered cient reasons why the affidavit or other evidence is necessary and
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a Noti entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to overcor showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary and vertical entered affidavit.	me <u>all</u> rejections under appeal and/or appellant fails to provide a
10.   The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the	e status of the claims after entry is below or attached.
REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	
<ol> <li>The request for reconsideration has been considered but does <u>See Continuation Sheet.</u></li> </ol>	
<ul><li>12. ☐ Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i>(s). (PTO/S</li><li>13. ☐ Other:</li></ul>	SB/08) Paper No(s)
/N. K./	/Anna D. Kuhalik/
Examiner, Art Unit 1611	/Anne R Kubelik/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1638

Continuation of 3. NOTE: Claim 1 as amended now requires that the composition additionally comprise 1 to 10% by weight of a C18 to C22 alkyl chain fatty material selected from the group consisting of fatty alcohol, alkoxylated fatty alcohol, fatty acid and mixtures thereof. Although the alkyl chain fatty material was claimed in now cancelled claim 5, the previous claims did not claim the amount by weight of the alkyl chain fatty material.

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: the claims as amended require a new search because of the addition of an amount by weight of C18 to C22 alkyl chain fatty material. Additionally, Applicants argue that the phrase "consisting essentialy of" in claim 1 excludes cleansinc anionics from the surfactant system. MPEP 2111.03 states that "for the purposes of searching for and applying prior art under 35 U.S.C. 102 and 103, absent a clear indication in the specification or claims of what the basic and novel characteristics actually are, "consisting essentially of" will be construed as equivalent to "comprising," referring to PPG, 156 F.3d at 1355, 48 USPQ2d at 1355.

Applicants further argue that Franz et al. teach away from including a fatty acid structurant, citing paragraphs 40 and 44. However, paragraph 0044 does not teach this. Paragraph 40 does state that a fatty acid structurant is not required. However, paragraph 44 specifically does teach that benefit agents may be included in the composition and that a thickening agent such as a fatty acid containing from about 15 to 22 carbons is such a benefit agent (paras. 0092 and 0098). Therefore, Frantz et al. teach that a fatty acid thickening agent may be used in the composition. The instant claims do not require that the function of this agent is to stabilize the composition and Franz et al. do not teach away from including a fatty acid thickening agent.